# **WEAK MIXING AND UNIQUE ERGODICITY ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES**

BY RUFUS BOWEN<sup>+</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Under certain conditions the weak mixing of a translation on *G/F* implies that the action of an associated subgroup of  $G$  on  $G/\Gamma$  is uniquely ergodic. This result generalizes earlier theorems of Furstenberg and Veech.

Let G be a Lie group and  $\Gamma$  a discrete subgroup with  $G/\Gamma$  compact. Then G acts on  $G/\Gamma$  by  $T_s(h\Gamma) = gh\Gamma$ . We will assume G unimodular so that some Haar measure on G induces a G-invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G/\Gamma$ . On  $G/\Gamma$ one takes a Riemannian metric induced from a right invariant metric on G. For  $a \in G$  the automorphism  $\text{Ad}_a(g) = aga^{-1}$  of G corresponds to an automorphism ad<sub>a</sub> of the Lie algebra of G. Let  $\mathfrak{G}^s(a)$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}^c(a)$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}^u(a)$  denote the invariant subspaces of ( $\frac{1}{3}$  corresponding to the eigenvalues of ad<sub>a</sub> satisfying  $|\lambda|$  < 1,  $|\lambda| = 1, |\lambda| > 1$  respectively. These subspaces are subalgebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $G^*$  be the subgroup of G corresponding to  $\mathfrak{G}^*(a)$ . In this paper we prove the

THEOREM. Assume that  $T_a$  is weak mixing on  $(G/\Gamma, \mu)$  and that  $ad_a | (S^c(a))$ is a semisimple linear map. Then action of  $G<sup>u</sup>$  on  $G/\Gamma$  on the left is uniquely *ergodic.* 

This means that  $\mu$  is the only Borel probability measure on  $G/\Gamma$  invariant under  $G^*$ . One case of the above is a theorem of Furstenberg [3]; here  $T_a$  is (part of) a geodesic flow and  $G^{\mu}$  is a horocycle flow. In Section 3 one will see that this theorem also includes Veech's [11] generalization of Furstenberg to semi-simple Lie groups. The basic idea used in the present paper occurs in [2]; in some sense one can find it in [1] and [11]. The papers [2] and [6] give analogues of Furstenberg's theorem in dynamical systems which move away from the Lie group framework.

t Partially supported by the Sloan Foundation and NSF GP-14519. Received July 13, 1975

### **I. Proof of the Theorem**

Let  $\mathcal{G}_C$  be the complexification of  $\mathcal{G}_C$  and  $W_\lambda = \{v \in \mathcal{G}_C : (ad_a - \lambda I)^v v = 0\}$ some  $n > 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G}_c = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_{\lambda_i}$  where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the distinct eigenvalues of ad<sub>a</sub> and also  $[W_{\lambda_1}, W_{\lambda_j}] \subset W_{\lambda_1\lambda_j}$  (this standard fact follows as ad<sub>a</sub> is a Lie algebra automorphism). From this it follows that

$$
(\mathfrak{H}_C^s = \bigoplus_{|\lambda_i| \leq 1} W_{\lambda_i}, \mathfrak{G}_C^c = \bigoplus_{|\lambda_i| = 1} W_{\lambda_i}, \mathfrak{G}_C^u = \bigoplus_{|\lambda_i| > 1} W_{\lambda_i}
$$

are subalgebras of  $\mathcal{G}_c$ . These are the complexifications of  $\mathcal{G}'(a)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'(a)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}''(a)$ , which are therefore subalgebras of  $\Theta$  as we claimed earlier. Assuming that  $|\lambda_1| \leq \cdots \leq |\lambda_k|$  are the eigenvalues with  $|\lambda_i| > 1$ , one has  $[0, \infty]_{i=j}^k W_{\lambda_i}$  $\subset \bigoplus_{i=i+1}^k W_{\lambda_i}$ . From this it follows that  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  and hence  $\mathfrak{G}^*(a)$  is nilpotent.

From  $[W_{\lambda_1}, W_{\lambda_1}] \subset W_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$  one sees that  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{cs}}(a) = \mathfrak{G}^{\text{c}}(a) + \mathfrak{G}^{\text{s}}(a)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ; let  $G^{\circ}$  be the corresponding subgroup of G. One defines two  $C^*$  foliations on  $G/\Gamma$  by

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\mu} = \{G^{\mu}x\}_{x \in G/\Gamma} \text{ and } \mathcal{G}^{cs} = \{G^{cs}x\}_{x \in G/\Gamma}.
$$

These foliations are invariant under  $T_a$  in the sense that

$$
T_a(G^{\mu}x)=(aG^{\mu}a^{-1})ax=G^{\mu}T_a x \text{ and } T_a(G^{cs}x)=G^{cs}T_a x.
$$

The two foliations  $\mathscr{G}^*$  and  $\mathscr{G}^s$  are transversal with complementary dimensions. Let  $p = \dim G^*$  and  $q = \dim G^*$ ; pick small disk neighborhoods  $U \subset G^*$ and  $V \subset G^u$  of e. One can find open sets  $M_1, \dots, M_r$  covering  $G/\Gamma$  and  $C^{\infty}$ diffeomorphisms  $\phi_i = (\phi_i^1, \phi_i^2): M_i \to D^p \times D^q$  (D<sup>n</sup> is the n-disk) so that

$$
\phi_i(M_i \cap Vx) = D^p \times \phi_i^2(x) \text{ and } \phi_i(M_i \cap Ux) = \phi_i^1(x) \times D^q
$$

for every  $x \in M_i$ .

On a leaf  $G''x$  of  $\mathscr{G}''$  we let  $\mu^*$  denote the measure induced from the Riemannian metric of *G/F* restricted to *G"x.* Since the Riemannian metric on  $G/\Gamma$  came from a right invariant metric on G, we see that  $\mu^*$  comes from a right Haar measure  $\mu^*$  on  $G^*$  via the immersion  $G^* \to G^*x$  (by  $g \to gx$ ).

LEMMA. *There is a function*  $\alpha(\delta) > 0$  defined for  $\delta > 0$  with  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \alpha(\delta) = 1$ and for which the following holds: If  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  are compact subsets of  $G^*x_1$ ,  $G^*x_2$ *and there is a continuous function k:*  $L_1 \rightarrow B_8(e, G^{\text{cs}})$  *and a bijection h:*  $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ *so that*  $h(z) = k(z)z$ , then  $\mu^*(L_1) \geq \alpha(\delta)\mu^*(L_2)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $M^* \subset M_i$  be compact with  $G/\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}$  int  $M^*$ . It is enough to prove the statement for  $L_1$ ,  $L_2 \subset$  some  $M^*$  and  $\phi_i(L_i) = L'_i \times u_i$ . Then  $L'_i = L'_i$ 

#### Vol. 23, 1976 **WEAK MIXING** 269

and the  $\phi_i(L_i)$  have the same measure using the Euclidean metric. Since the  $\phi_i$ :  $M^* \rightarrow R^{p+q}$  are  $C^*$  and the  $M^*$  are compact, the ratio  $\mu^*(L_1)/\mu^*(L_2)$  is bounded and tends to 1 as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  (i.e.,  $||u_1 - u_2|| \rightarrow 0$ ).

Let  $\nu$  denote any  $G^{\mu'}$ -invariant Borel probability measure.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose*  $R \subset G^*$  is open,  $S \subset G^{cs}x$  is compact, and  $(r, s) \rightarrow rs$  is *one-to-one on*  $R \times S \rightarrow G/\Gamma$ . If  $X \subset Y \subset RS$  are Borel sets, then  $\nu(X)/\nu(Y) \in$  $\left[ \text{inf}\Phi, \text{sup}\Phi \right]$  where

$$
\Phi = \left\{ \frac{\mu^{\mathfrak{u}}(X \cap Rs)}{\mu^{\mathfrak{u}}(Y \cap Rs)} : s \in S, \mu^{\mathfrak{u}}(Y \cap Rs) > 0 \right\}.
$$

PROOF. Define *m* on *S* by  $m(E) = v(RE)$  for  $E \subset S$ . There are Borel measures  $v_s$  on *Rs* for *m* almost every  $s \in S$  such that [9]:

$$
\nu(W) = \int \nu_s(W \cap Rs) dm(s)
$$

for  $W \subset RS$  Borel. The measures  $\nu$ , are determined (for *m*-almost all *s*) by this formula. As  $v(gW) = v(W)$  for  $g \in G^*$ , this uniqueness implies that for m-almost all s the measure  $\nu_s$  comes from a left (local) Haar measure on  $R \subset G^*$ via the identification  $R \rightarrow Rs$ . Since  $G^*$  is unimodular (it is nilpotent),  $\nu_s$  is proportional to  $\mu^*$  *Rs* for *m*-almost all *s*. The lemma follows by applying the formula to both  $W = X$  and  $W = Y$ .

We call a Borel set  $H \subset G/\Gamma$  of small diameter a *box* if for any points  $x_1$ ,  $x_2 \in H$  the point  $Ux_1 \cap Vx_2 \in H$ . A set of small diameter H is contained in some  $M_i$ ; H is seen to be a box if and only if  $\phi_i(H) = L \times K$  for some Borel sets  $L \subset D^p$  and  $K \subset D^q$ .

LEMMA 3. *For any*  $\beta > 0$  *there is a finite cover of G*  $/\Gamma$  by boxes  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  of *diameter at most*  $\beta$  *with*  $\mu(H_i) > 0$  *and*  $\nu(a^nH_i \cap a^nH_k) = 0$  *for*  $i \neq k$ *,*  $n \geq 0$ *.* 

PROOF. Define the measure  $\nu_n$  by  $\nu_n(E) = \nu(a^n E)$ . For  $g \in G^*$  one has

$$
\nu_n(gE) = \nu(a^n gE) = \nu((a^n g a^{-n}) a^n E) = \nu(a^n E) = \nu_n(E).
$$

So  $\nu' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/2^n) \nu_n$  is a G<sup>"</sup>-invariant measure. It is enough to cover  $G/\Gamma$  by small boxes  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  with pairwise disjoint interiors,  $H_i = \overline{\text{int } H_i}$  and  $\nu'(\partial H_i) = 0$ . Lemma 2 applied to  $\omega'$  in place of  $\nu$  gives us a measure  $m'_i$  on  $S_i = \phi_i^{-1} (0 \times D^4)$  when we use  $R = V$ . We identify  $S_i$  with  $0 \times D^4$  and think of  $m'_i$  as being on  $0 \times D^q$ . For a box  $H \subset M_i$  let us write  $\phi_i(H) = L \times K$ ; then  $\omega'(\partial H) = 0$  provided

$$
m'_{\lambda}(\partial K) = 0 \text{ and } \mu^{\mu}(\partial L) = 0.
$$

We construct inductively on i a cover  $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$  of  $M_1 \cup \dots \cup M_i$  by boxes such that:

$$
H_i = \overline{\text{int } H_i}, \text{int } H_i \cap \text{int } H_k = \emptyset \text{ for } j \neq k,
$$
  
and (\*) holds for  $H_i \text{ with } j \in (t_{i-1}, t_i].$ 

For  $j \in [1, t_i]$  let

$$
\phi_{i+1}(M_{i+1}\cap\mathrm{int}\,H_i)=L_i\times K_i.
$$

One sees that (\*) holding for the  $i' \leq i$  with  $j \in (t_{i'-1}, t_i]$  implies that

$$
m'_{i+1}(\partial K_i) = 0
$$
 and  $\mu^{\mu}(\partial L_i) = 0$ .

For every subset  $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, t_i\}$  (including  $\Lambda = \emptyset$ ) define

$$
K_{\Lambda} = \left(D^{q} \bigcap_{J \in \Lambda} K_{j}\right) \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin \Lambda} \overline{K}_{j}
$$

$$
L_{\Lambda} = \left(D^{p} \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} K_{j}\right) \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin \Lambda} \overline{L}_{j}.
$$

Slightly shrinking  $M_{i+1}$  if necessary, we may assume  $m'_{i+1}(\partial D^q)=0$  and  $\mu^*(\partial D^p) = 0$ . As  $\partial K_\Lambda \subset \partial D^q \cup \bigcup_i \partial K_i$ , one has  $m'_{i+1}(\partial K \Lambda) = 0$ . Also  $K_\Lambda = K_{\Lambda'}$  if  $K_A \cap K_{A'} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $D^q = \bigcup_A \overline{K}$ . Similarly,  $\mu^*(\partial L \Lambda) = 0$ ,  $L_A = L_{A'}$  if  $L_A \cap L_A \neq \emptyset$ , and  $D^p = \bigcup_{A} \overline{L}_A$ . Let  $H_{n+1}, \cdots, H_{n+1}$  be all the  $\phi_{i+1}^{-1}(\overline{L_A \times K_A})$  not contained in some  $H_i$  with  $j \in [1, t_i]$ .

We will now show  $\nu = \mu$ . Let  $F = \phi_i^{-1}(L \times K)$  be a compact box and let  $F_r = \phi_i^{-1}(L \times B_r(K))$  where  $B_r(K)$  is the y-neighborhood of K in  $R^q$  for  $\gamma > 0$ . There is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $kx \in F$ , when  $x \in F$  and  $k \in B_{\delta}(e, G^{\circ})$ . Finally, since  $ad_a | \mathcal{G}^c(a)$  is semisimple and  $ad_a | \mathcal{G}^s(a)$  has all eigenvalues  $|\lambda| < 1$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that

$$
k\in B_{\epsilon}(e,G^{cs})\Rightarrow a^{n}ka^{-n}\in B_{\delta}(e,G^{cs})\, n\geq 0.
$$

If  $x' = kx$  with  $x \in G/\Gamma$  and  $k \in B_{\epsilon}$  (e, G<sup>cs</sup>), then  $T_a^* x' = (a^n k a^{-n}) T_a^* x$  and  $a^{n}ka^{-n} \in B_{\delta}(e, G^{cs}).$ 

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  be a cover of  $G/\Gamma$  by disjoint boxes given by Lemma 3 of such small diameter that

$$
x \in H_i
$$
,  $x' \in Ux \cap H_j \Rightarrow x' = kx$  with  $k \in B_{\epsilon}(e, G^{\alpha})$ .

Pick  $z_i \in H_i$ . For  $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$  and  $m > 0$  define

$$
A_m(y) = Vy \cap H_i \cap a^{-m}F
$$

and

$$
A_n^{\gamma}(y) = Vy \cap H_i \cap a^{-m}F_{\gamma}.
$$

For  $y, y' \in H_i \cap Uz_i$  one has a one-to-one map  $A_m(y) \rightarrow A_m'(y')$  defined by  $x \rightarrow x' = Vy' \cap Ux$ . For then  $x' = kx$  with  $k \in B_{\epsilon}(e, G^{\circ})$  and  $a''''x' =$  $(a<sup>m</sup>ka<sup>-m</sup>)a<sup>m</sup>x$ . Since  $a<sup>m</sup>x \in F$  we have  $a<sup>m</sup>x' \in F<sub>x</sub>$ . Lemma 1 gives

(1) 
$$
\mu^{\mu}(a^m A_m^{\alpha}(y')) \geq \alpha(\delta) \mu^{\mu}(a^m A_m(y)).
$$

Since  $T_a$  is weak mixing (see [4]) there is a sequence  $m_k \to \infty$  so that

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu(a^{m_k}H_j\cap F)=\mu(H_i)\mu(F)
$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . For large  $m = m_k$  one has (using  $\mu(a^m H_i) = \mu(H_i) > 0$ )

(II) 
$$
\frac{\mu(a^m H_i \cap F)}{\mu(a^m H_i)} \geq (1 - \delta)\mu(F).
$$

Now  $a^mH_i \subset a^m(VUz_i) = (a^mVa^{-m})(a^mUz_i)$ . We apply Lemma 2 to  $x = a^mz_i$ ,  $R = a^{m}Va^{-m}$ ,  $S = (a^{m}Ua^{-m})a^{m}zg$ ,  $X = a^{m}H_{i} \cap F$ ,  $Y = a^{m}H_{i}$  and  $\nu = \mu$ . By (II) and Lemma 3 there is an  $s \in a^{m}(H_i \cap Uz_i)$  with

$$
\frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^mVa^{-m}s\cap a^mH_i\cap F)}{\mu^{\mu}(a^mVa^{-m}s\cap a^mH_i)}\geq (1-\delta)\mu(F).
$$

Now  $s = a^m y$  with  $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$  and one can rewrite this expression as

(III) 
$$
\frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^m A_m(y))}{\mu^{\mu}(a^m(Vy \cap H_i))} \geq (1 - \delta) \mu(F).
$$

Using (I), for *any*  $y' \in H_i \cap Uz_i$  one obtains

$$
(IV) \qquad \frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^m A_m^{\nu}(y'))}{\mu^{\mu}(a^m(Vy' \cap H_i))} \geq \frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^m(Vy \cap H_i))}{\mu^{\mu}(a^m(Vy' \cap H_i))} \alpha(\delta)(1-\delta)\mu(F)
$$

$$
\geq \alpha(\delta)^2(1-\delta)\mu(F).
$$

**For** the second inequality we use Lemma **1.** Working backwards and applying Lemma 2 to  $\nu$ ,  $X = a^m H_j \cap F_\nu$  and  $Y = a^m H_j$  we get

$$
\frac{\nu(a^mH_i\cap F_\gamma)}{\nu(a^mH_i)}\geq \alpha(\delta)^2(1-\delta)\mu(F).
$$

This holds true for all large  $m = m_k$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Summing over j (using  $\nu(a^mH_i \cap a^mH_k) = 0$ )

$$
\nu(F_{\gamma}) = \sum_{i} \nu(a^m H_i \cap F_{\gamma}) \geq \alpha(\delta)^2 (1 - \delta) \mu(F).
$$

Letting  $\gamma \to 0$ ,  $\delta \to 0$  and  $\nu(F) \ge \mu(F)$ .

One obtains  $\mu(F) \ge v(F)$  analogously. Use a sequence  $m_k \to \infty$  with  $\mu(a^{m k}H_i \cap F_{\gamma}) \rightarrow \mu(H_i)\mu(F_{\gamma})$ . For large  $m = m_k$  one has

(II') 
$$
(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma}) \geq \frac{\mu(a^mH_i \cap F_{\gamma})}{\mu(a^mH_i)}.
$$

Using Lemma 2 one finds a  $y' \in H_i \cap Uz_i$  with

(III') 
$$
(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma}) \geq \frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^{m}A_{m}^{\gamma}(y'))}{\mu^{\mu}(a^{m}(Vy') \cap H_{j}))}.
$$

For any  $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$ , (I) gives us

$$
(IV') \hspace{1cm} (1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma})\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \geq \frac{\mu^{\mu}(a^m A_m(y))}{\mu^{\mu}(a^m(Vy \cap H_i))}.
$$

Then Lemma 3 gives

$$
(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma})\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \geq \frac{v(a^mH_i \cap F)}{v(a^mH_i)}
$$

and so  $(1+\delta)\mu(F_r)\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \ge \nu(F)$ . Letting  $\gamma \to 0$ ,  $\delta \to 0$  and  $\mu(F) \ge \nu(F)$ .

We have seen  $\nu(F) = \mu(F)$  for all small compact boxes F. This implies  $\nu = \mu$ , and the action of  $G<sup>*</sup>$  is uniquely ergodic.

# **2. Afline maps**

Suppose now that A is an automorphism of G with  $A(\Gamma) = \Gamma$  and  $a \in G$ . Then

$$
T(g\Gamma)=aA(g)\Gamma
$$

defines a measure preserving map  $T: G/\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Then  $R(g) = aA(g)a^{-1}$  is an automorphism of G and its derivative  $r = dR_c$ :  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a Lie algebra automorphism. Let  $G<sup>u</sup>(r)$  be the subgroup of G associated with the subalgebra

# Vol. 23, 1976 WEAK MIXING 273

 $\mathbb{G}^u(f)$  corresponding to all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of r with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . The proof in Section **1 works still in this case.** 

**THEOREM.** Let T as above be weak mixing and assume  $r \mid \mathcal{G}^c(r)$  is a *semi-simple linear map. Then the action of*  $G<sup>u</sup>(r)$  *on*  $G/\Gamma$  *is uniquely ergodic.* 

## **3. Semi-simple G**

**Let G be a semi-simple Lie group with finite center and no compact factor and**  let  $G = KAN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition. Then Veech [11] proved that N is uniquely ergodic on  $G/\Gamma$  for any co-compact discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$ . By the construction of an Iwasawa decomposition [5],  $\mathfrak{G}^*(a) \subset \mathcal{N}$  for a in the exponential  $A^+$  of the positive Weyl chamber and  $\mathcal N$  the Lie algebra of N. Also ad<sub>a</sub> is semi-simple for all  $a \in A$ . Thus our theorem gives Veech's result if there are any  $a \in A^+$  with  $T_a$  weak mixing (if  $G_a^*$  is uniquely ergodic, so is the larger group **N). The existence of such an a follows from [7] or [12].** 

**The minimal actions of theoreml.3 of [11] (see also theor. 5, [10]) are in fact uniquely ergodic. Here one has a weak mixing R ~ action; almost every element of such an action is weak mixing (apply [8] to the direct product of the action with itself.)** 

#### **REFERENCES**

1. D. **Anosov,** *Geodesic flows on closed Riemann mani/olds with negative curvature,* Proc. **Steklov Inst. Math. 90** (1967).

2. R. **Bowen and** B. Marcus, *Unique ergodicity of horocycle/oliations,* **to appear.** 

3. H. **Furstenberg, The** *unique ergodicity o/ the horocycle flow,* **in** *Recent Advances in Topological Dynamics,* **Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes 318, pp.** 95-114.

4. P. Halmos, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory,* Chelsea, 1956.

5. S. **Helgason,** *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces,* Academic Press, 1962.

6. B. Marcus, *Unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow : variable negative curvature case,* **Israel** J. **Math.** 21 (1975), 133-144.

7. C. Moore, *Etgodicity o/flows on homogeneous spaces,* **Amer. J. Math.** 88 (1966), 154-178.

8. C. Pugh and M. Shub, *Ergodic elements o/ergodic actions,* **Compositio Math.** 23 (1971), 115-122.

9. V. Rohlin, *On the Fundamental Ideas of Measure Theory,* **Amer. Math.** Soc. No. 71.

10. A. **Stepin,** *Dynamical systems on homogeneous spaces of semi-simple Lie groups,* **Math.**  USSR-Izv. 7 (1973), 1089-1104.

11. W. Veech, *Unique ergodicity of horospherical flows,* Israel J. **Math. 21** (1975), 233-239.

12. F. Mautner, *Geodesic flows on symmetric Riemann spaces,* Ann. **of Math. 65** (1957), 416-431.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERKELEY, CALIF. 94720 U.S.A.