WEAK MIXING AND UNIQUE ERGODICITY ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

BY RUFUS BOWEN[†]

ABSTRACT

Under certain conditions the weak mixing of a translation on G/Γ implies that the action of an associated subgroup of G on G/Γ is uniquely ergodic. This result generalizes earlier theorems of Furstenberg and Veech.

Let G be a Lie group and Γ a discrete subgroup with G/Γ compact. Then G acts on G/Γ by $T_g(h\Gamma) = gh\Gamma$. We will assume G unimodular so that some Haar measure on G induces a G-invariant probability measure μ on G/Γ . On G/Γ one takes a Riemannian metric induced from a right invariant metric on G. For $a \in G$ the automorphism $\operatorname{Ad}_a(g) = aga^{-1}$ of G corresponds to an automorphism ad_a of the Lie algebra of G. Let $(\mathfrak{G}^s(a), (\mathfrak{G}^e(a), \mathfrak{G}^u(a)$ denote the invariant subspaces of \mathfrak{G} corresponding to the eigenvalues of ad_a satisfying $|\lambda| < 1$, $|\lambda| = 1, |\lambda| > 1$ respectively. These subspaces are subalgebras of \mathfrak{G} ; let G^u be the subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{G}^u(a)$. In this paper we prove the

THEOREM. Assume that T_a is weak mixing on $(G/\Gamma, \mu)$ and that $\operatorname{ad}_a | \mathfrak{G}^c(a)$ is a semisimple linear map. Then action of G^u on G/Γ on the left is uniquely ergodic.

This means that μ is the only Borel probability measure on G/Γ invariant under G^{μ} . One case of the above is a theorem of Furstenberg [3]; here T_a is (part of) a geodesic flow and G^{μ} is a horocycle flow. In Section 3 one will see that this theorem also includes Veech's [11] generalization of Furstenberg to semi-simple Lie groups. The basic idea used in the present paper occurs in [2]; in some sense one can find it in [1] and [11]. The papers [2] and [6] give analogues of Furstenberg's theorem in dynamical systems which move away from the Lie group framework.

[†] Partially supported by the Sloan Foundation and NSF GP-14519. Received July 13, 1975

1. Proof of the Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ be the complexification of \mathfrak{G} and $W_{\lambda} = \{v \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbf{C}}: (\mathrm{ad}_{a} - \lambda I)^{n}v = 0 \text{ some } n > 0\}$. Then $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbf{C}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} W_{\lambda_{i}}$ where $\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{m}$ are the distinct eigenvalues of ad_{a} and also $[W_{\lambda_{i}}, W_{\lambda_{j}}] \subset W_{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}}$ (this standard fact follows as ad_{a} is a Lie algebra automorphism). From this it follows that

$$(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{C}}^{s}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda_{i}|<1}W_{\lambda_{i}}, \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{C}}^{c}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda_{i}|=1}W_{\lambda_{i}}, \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{C}}^{u}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda_{i}|>1}W_{\lambda_{i}}$$

are subalgebras of \mathfrak{G}_c . These are the complexifications of $\mathfrak{G}^s(a)$, $\mathfrak{G}^c(a)$, $\mathfrak{G}^u(a)$, which are therefore subalgebras of \mathfrak{G} as we claimed earlier. Assuming that $|\lambda_1| \leq \cdots \leq |\lambda_k|$ are the eigenvalues with $|\lambda_i| > 1$, one has $[\mathfrak{G}_c^u, \bigoplus_{i=j}^k W_{\lambda_i}]$ $\subset \bigoplus_{i=j+1}^k W_{\lambda_i}$. From this it follows that \mathfrak{G}_c^u and hence $\mathfrak{G}^u(a)$ is nilpotent.

From $[W_{\lambda_i}, W_{\lambda_j}] \subset W_{\lambda_i\lambda_j}$ one sees that $\mathfrak{G}^{cs}(a) = \mathfrak{G}^c(a) + \mathfrak{G}^s(a)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} ; let G^{cs} be the corresponding subgroup of G. One defines two C^{∞} foliations on G/Γ by

$$\mathscr{G}^{\mu} = \{G^{\mu}x\}_{x \in G/\Gamma} \text{ and } \mathscr{G}^{cs} = \{G^{cs}x\}_{x \in G/\Gamma}.$$

These foliations are invariant under T_a in the sense that

$$T_a(G^{u}x) = (aG^{u}a^{-1})ax = G^{u}T_ax$$
 and $T_a(G^{cs}x) = G^{cs}T_ax$

The two foliations \mathscr{G}^{u} and \mathscr{G}^{cs} are transversal with complementary dimensions. Let $p = \dim G^{u}$ and $q = \dim G^{cs}$; pick small disk neighborhoods $U \subset G^{cs}$ and $V \subset G^{u}$ of e. One can find open sets M_1, \dots, M_r covering G/Γ and C^{∞} diffeomorphisms $\phi_i = (\phi_i^1, \phi_i^2)$: $M_i \to D^p \times D^q$ (D^n is the *n*-disk) so that

$$\phi_i(M_i \cap Vx) = D^p \times \phi_i^2(x)$$
 and $\phi_i(M_i \cap Ux) = \phi_i^1(x) \times D^q$

for every $x \in M_i$.

On a leaf $G^{u}x$ of \mathscr{G}^{u} we let μ^{u} denote the measure induced from the Riemannian metric of G/Γ restricted to $G^{u}x$. Since the Riemannian metric on G/Γ came from a right invariant metric on G, we see that μ^{u} comes from a right Haar measure μ^{*} on G^{u} via the immersion $G^{u} \to G^{u}x$ (by $g \to gx$).

LEMMA. There is a function $\alpha(\delta) > 0$ defined for $\delta > 0$ with $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \alpha(\delta) = 1$ and for which the following holds: If L_1 , L_2 are compact subsets of G^*x_1 , G^*x_2 and there is a continuous function $k: L_1 \to B_{\delta}(e, G^{cs})$ and a bijection $h: L_1 \to L_2$ so that h(z) = k(z)z, then $\mu^u(L_1) \ge \alpha(\delta)\mu^u(L_2)$.

PROOF. Let $M_i^* \subset M_i$ be compact with $G/\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}$ int M_i^* . It is enough to prove the statement for L_1 , $L_2 \subset$ some M_i^* and $\phi_i(L_i) = L_i' \times u_i$. Then $L_1' = L_2'$

WEAK MIXING

and the $\phi_i(L_i)$ have the same measure using the Euclidean metric. Since the ϕ_i : $M_i^* \to R^{p+q}$ are C^{∞} and the M_i^* are compact, the ratio $\mu^*(L_1)/\mu^*(L_2)$ is bounded and tends to 1 as $\delta \to 0$ (i.e., $\|u_1 - u_2\| \to 0$).

Let ν denote any G^{μ} -invariant Borel probability measure.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $R \subset G^*$ is open, $S \subset G^{cs}x$ is compact, and $(r, s) \rightarrow rs$ is one-to-one on $R \times S \rightarrow G/\Gamma$. If $X \subset Y \subset RS$ are Borel sets, then $\nu(X)/\nu(Y) \in [\inf \Phi, \sup \Phi]$ where

$$\Phi = \left\{ \frac{\mu^{"}(X \cap Rs)}{\mu^{"}(Y \cap Rs)} : s \in S, \mu^{"}(Y \cap Rs) > 0 \right\}.$$

PROOF. Define *m* on *S* by $m(E) = \nu(RE)$ for $E \subset S$. There are Borel measures ν_s on *Rs* for *m* almost every $s \in S$ such that [9]:

$$\nu(W) = \int \nu_s(W \cap Rs) dm(s)$$

for $W \subset RS$ Borel. The measures ν_s are determined (for *m*-almost all *s*) by this formula. As $\nu(gW) = \nu(W)$ for $g \in G^u$, this uniqueness implies that for *m*-almost all *s* the measure ν_s comes from a left (local) Haar measure on $R \subset G^u$ via the identification $R \to Rs$. Since G^u is unimodular (it is nilpotent), ν_s is proportional to $\mu^u | Rs$ for *m*-almost all *s*. The lemma follows by applying the formula to both W = X and W = Y.

We call a Borel set $H \subset G/\Gamma$ of small diameter a *box* if for any points x_1 , $x_2 \in H$ the point $Ux_1 \cap Vx_2 \in H$. A set of small diameter H is contained in some M_i ; H is seen to be a box if and only if $\phi_i(H) = L \times K$ for some Borel sets $L \subset D^p$ and $K \subset D^q$.

LEMMA 3. For any $\beta > 0$ there is a finite cover of G/Γ by boxes H_1, \dots, H_t of diameter at most β with $\mu(H_i) > 0$ and $\nu(a^nH_i \cap a^nH_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$, $n \ge 0$.

PROOF. Define the measure ν_n by $\nu_n(E) = \nu(a^n E)$. For $g \in G^*$ one has

$$\nu_n(gE) = \nu(a^n gE) = \nu((a^n ga^{-n})a^n E) = \nu(a^n E) = \nu_n(E).$$

So $\nu' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/2^n) \nu_n$ is a G^* -invariant measure. It is enough to cover G/Γ by small boxes H_1, \dots, H_i with pairwise disjoint interiors, $H_i = \overline{\operatorname{int} H_i}$, and $\nu'(\partial H_i) = 0$. Lemma 2 applied to ω' in place of ν gives us a measure m'_i on $S_i = \phi_i^{-1} (0 \times D^q)$ when we use R = V. We identify S_i with $0 \times D^q$ and think of m'_i as being on $0 \times D^q$. For a box $H \subset M_i$ let us write $\phi_i(H) = L \times K$; then $\omega'(\partial H) = 0$ provided

(*)
$$m'_{(\partial K)} = 0 \text{ and } \mu^{*}(\partial L) = 0.$$

We construct inductively on *i* a cover $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ of $M_1 \cup \dots \cup M_i$ by boxes such that:

$$H_{j} = \overline{\operatorname{int} H_{j}}, \operatorname{int} H_{j} \cap \operatorname{int} H_{k} = \emptyset \text{ for } j \neq k,$$

and (*) holds for H_{j} with $j \in (t_{i-1}, t_{i}].$

For $j \in [1, t_i]$ let

$$\phi_{i+1}(M_{i+1} \cap \operatorname{int} H_i) = L_i \times K_i$$

One sees that (*) holding for the $i' \leq i$ with $j \in (t_{i'-1}, t_{i'}]$ implies that

$$m'_{i+1}(\partial K_i) = 0$$
 and $\mu^{"}(\partial L_i) = 0$.

For every subset $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, t_i\}$ (including $\Lambda = \emptyset$) define

$$K_{\Lambda} = \left(D^{q} \bigcap_{J \in \Lambda} K_{j} \right) \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin \Lambda} \overline{K}_{j}$$
$$L_{\Lambda} = \left(D^{p} \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} K_{j} \right) \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin \Lambda} \overline{L}_{j}.$$

Slightly shrinking M_{i+1} if necessary, we may assume $m'_{i+1}(\partial D^q) = 0$ and $\mu^u(\partial D^p) = 0$. As $\partial K_{\Lambda} \subset \partial D^q \cup \bigcup_j \partial K_j$, one has $m'_{i+1}(\partial K\Lambda) = 0$. Also $K_{\Lambda} = K_{\Lambda'}$ if $K_{\Lambda} \cap K_{\Lambda'} \neq \emptyset$, and $D^q = \bigcup_{\Lambda} \tilde{K}$. Similarly, $\mu^u(\partial L\Lambda) = 0$, $L_{\Lambda} = L_{\Lambda'}$ if $L_{\Lambda} \cap L_{\Lambda'} \neq \emptyset$, and $D^p = \bigcup_{\Lambda} \tilde{L}_{\Lambda}$. Let $H_{i+1}, \dots, H_{i_{i+1}}$ be all the $\phi_{i+1}^{-1}(\overline{L_{\Lambda} \times K_{\Lambda}})$ not contained in some H_j with $j \in [1, t_i]$.

We will now show $\nu = \mu$. Let $F = \phi_i^{-1}(L \times K)$ be a compact box and let $F_{\gamma} = \phi_i^{-1}(L \times B_{\gamma}(K))$ where $B_{\gamma}(K)$ is the γ -neighborhood of K in \mathbb{R}^q for $\gamma > 0$. There is a $\delta > 0$ so that $kx \in F_{\gamma}$ when $x \in F$ and $k \in B_{\delta}(e, G^{cs})$. Finally, since $\mathrm{ad}_a | \mathfrak{G}^c(a)$ is semisimple and $\mathrm{ad}_a | \mathfrak{G}^s(a)$ has all eigenvalues $|\lambda| < 1$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$k \in B_{\varepsilon}(e, G^{cs}) \Rightarrow a^{n}ka^{-n} \in B_{\delta}(e, G^{cs}) n \geq 0.$$

If x' = kx with $x \in G/\Gamma$ and $k \in B_{\varepsilon}(e, G^{cs})$, then $T_a^n x' = (a^n k a^{-n}) T_a^n x$ and $a^n k a^{-n} \in B_{\delta}(e, G^{cs})$.

Let H_1, \dots, H_r be a cover of G/Γ by disjoint boxes given by Lemma 3 of such small diameter that

$$x \in H_j, x' \in Ux \cap H_j \Rightarrow x' = kx \text{ with } k \in B_{\varepsilon}(e, G^{\circ s}).$$

Pick $z_i \in H_i$. For $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$ and m > 0 define

$$A_m(y) = Vy \cap H_i \cap a^{-m}F$$

and

$$A_m^{\gamma}(y) = Vy \cap H_i \cap a^{-m}F_{\gamma}.$$

For $y, y' \in H_j \cap Uz_j$ one has a one-to-one map $A_m(y) \to A_m^{\gamma}(y')$ defined by $x \to x' = Vy' \cap Ux$. For then x' = kx with $k \in B_{\epsilon}(e, G^{cs})$ and $a^m x' = (a^m k a^{-m})a^m x$. Since $a^m x \in F$ we have $a^m x' \in F_{\gamma}$. Lemma 1 gives

(I)
$$\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}^{\alpha}(y')) \geq \alpha(\delta)\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}(y)).$$

Since T_a is weak mixing (see [4]) there is a sequence $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ so that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu\left(a^{m_k}H_j\cap F\right)=\mu\left(H_j\right)\mu\left(F\right)$$

for all $1 \le j \le t$. For large $m = m_k$ one has (using $\mu(a^m H_j) = \mu(H_j) > 0$)

(II)
$$\frac{\mu(a^{m}H_{j}\cap F)}{\mu(a^{m}H_{j})} \ge (1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

Now $a^m H_j \subset a^m (VUz_j) = (a^m Va^{-m})(a^m Uz_j)$. We apply Lemma 2 to $x = a^m z_j$, $R = a^m Va^{-m}$, $S = (a^m Ua^{-m})a^m zg$, $X = a^m H_j \cap F$, $Y = a^m H_j$ and $\nu = \mu$. By (II) and Lemma 3 there is an $s \in a^m (H_j \cap Uz_j)$ with

$$\frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}Va^{-m}s\cap a^{m}H_{j}\cap F)}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}Va^{-m}s\cap a^{m}H_{j})} \ge (1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

Now $s = a^m y$ with $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$ and one can rewrite this expression as

(III)
$$\frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}(y))}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy \cap H_{j}))} \ge (1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

Using (I), for any $y' \in H_i \cap Uz_i$ one obtains

(IV)
$$\frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}^{\gamma}(y'))}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy'\cap H_{j}))} \geq \frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy\cap H_{j}))}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy'\cap H_{j}))}\alpha(\delta)(1-\delta)\mu(F)$$
$$\geq \alpha(\delta)^{2}(1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

For the second inequality we use Lemma 1. Working backwards and applying Lemma 2 to ν , $X = a^m H_i \cap F_{\gamma}$ and $Y = a^m H_i$ we get

Israel J. Math.

$$\frac{\nu(a^{m}H_{j}\cap F_{\gamma})}{\nu(a^{m}H_{j})} \geq \alpha(\delta)^{2}(1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

This holds true for all large $m = m_k$ and all $1 \le j \le t$. Summing over j (using $\nu(a^m H_j \cap a^m H_k) = 0$)

$$\nu(F_{\gamma}) = \sum_{i} \nu(a^{m}H_{i} \cap F_{\gamma}) \geq \alpha(\delta)^{2}(1-\delta)\mu(F).$$

Letting $\gamma \to 0$, $\delta \to 0$ and $\nu(F) \ge \mu(F)$.

One obtains $\mu(F) \ge \nu(F)$ analogously. Use a sequence $m_k \to \infty$ with $\mu(a^{m_k}H_i \cap F_{\gamma}) \to \mu(H_i)\mu(F_{\gamma})$. For large $m = m_k$ one has

(II')
$$(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma}) \geq \frac{\mu(a^{m}H_{j}\cap F_{\gamma})}{\mu(a^{m}H_{j})}.$$

Using Lemma 2 one finds a $y' \in H_i \cap Uz_i$ with

(III')
$$(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma}) \ge \frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}^{\gamma}(y'))}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy'\cap H_{j}))}$$

For any $y \in H_i \cap Uz_i$, (I) gives us

(IV')
$$(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma})\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \ge \frac{\mu^{u}(a^{m}A_{m}(y))}{\mu^{u}(a^{m}(Vy \cap H_{j}))}$$

Then Lemma 3 gives

$$(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma})\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \geq \frac{v(a^{m}H_{j}\cap F)}{v(a^{m}H_{j})}$$

and so $(1+\delta)\mu(F_{\gamma})\alpha(\delta)^{-2} \ge \nu(F)$. Letting $\gamma \to 0$, $\delta \to 0$ and $\mu(F) \ge \nu(F)$.

We have seen $\nu(F) = \mu(F)$ for all small compact boxes F. This implies $\nu = \mu$, and the action of G^* is uniquely ergodic.

2. Affine maps

Suppose now that A is an automorphism of G with $A(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ and $a \in G$. Then

$$T(g\Gamma) = aA(g)\Gamma$$

defines a measure preserving map $T: G/\Gamma \to \Gamma$. Then $R(g) = aA(g)a^{-1}$ is an automorphism of G and its derivative $r = dR_{\epsilon}$: $\mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}$ is a Lie algebra automorphism. Let $G^{*}(r)$ be the subgroup of G associated with the subalgebra

WEAK MIXING

 $\mathfrak{G}^{\mu}(f)$ corresponding to all eigenvalues λ of r with $|\lambda| > 1$. The proof in Section 1 works still in this case.

THEOREM. Let T as above be weak mixing and assume $r | \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{c}}(r)$ is a semi-simple linear map. Then the action of $G^{\mathfrak{u}}(r)$ on G/Γ is uniquely ergodic.

3. Semi-simple G

Let G be a semi-simple Lie group with finite center and no compact factor and let G = KAN be an Iwasawa decomposition. Then Veech [11] proved that N is uniquely ergodic on G/Γ for any co-compact discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$. By the construction of an Iwasawa decomposition [5], $\mathfrak{G}^{\mu}(a) \subset \mathcal{N}$ for a in the exponential A^+ of the positive Weyl chamber and \mathcal{N} the Lie algebra of N. Also ad_a is semi-simple for all $a \in A$. Thus our theorem gives Veech's result if there are any $a \in A^+$ with T_a weak mixing (if G_a^{μ} is uniquely ergodic, so is the larger group N). The existence of such an a follows from [7] or [12].

The minimal actions of theorem 1.3 of [11] (see also theor. 5, [10]) are in fact uniquely ergodic. Here one has a weak mixing R^n action; almost every element of such an action is weak mixing (apply [8] to the direct product of the action with itself.)

References

1. D. Anosov, Geodesic flows on closed Riemann manifolds with negative curvature, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 90 (1967).

2. R. Bowen and B. Marcus, Unique ergodicity of horocycle foliations, to appear.

3. H. Furstenberg, The unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow, in Recent Advances in Topological Dynamics, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes 318, pp. 95-114.

4. P. Halmos, Lectures on Ergodic Theory, Chelsea, 1956.

5. S. Helgason, Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, 1962.

6. B. Marcus, Unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow: variable negative curvature case, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 133-144.

7. C. Moore, Ergodicity of flows on homogeneous spaces, Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 154-178.

8. C. Pugh and M. Shub, Ergodic elements of ergodic actions, Compositio Math. 23 (1971), 115-122.

9. V. Rohlin, On the Fundamental Ideas of Measure Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. No. 71.

10. A. Stepin, Dynamical systems on homogeneous spaces of semi-simple Lie groups, Math. USSR-Izv. 7 (1973), 1089-1104.

11. W. Veech, Unique ergodicity of horospherical flows, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 233-239.

12. F. Mautner, Geodesic flows on symmetric Riemann spaces, Ann. of Math. 65 (1957), 416-431.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERKELEY, CALIF. 94720 U.S.A.